

Solution to Exercise 6.1: We consider the following robust constraint

$$g(x, z) \leq t, \forall z \in \mathcal{D}(\rho),$$

where $g(x, z) := \text{CVaR}_\alpha(r^T x; z)$.

First, we will work on the support function. We let $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{Z}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_2$ with \mathcal{Z}_1 the set discussed in theorem 6.6 and \mathcal{Z}_2 be the KL-divergence set presented in table 6.1. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}_1) &:= \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}: \lambda \geq v} \lambda \\ \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}_2) &:= \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \mu \geq 0} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{K} \mu \exp(v_k/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the rule presented in table 6.1 for intersection of sets, this indicates us that support function for \mathcal{Z} should be

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) &= \min_{\lambda, \mu \geq 0, w^1, w^2: \lambda \geq w^1, w^1 + w^2 = v} \lambda + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{K} \mu \exp(w_k^2/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu \\ &= \min_{\lambda, \mu \geq 0, w: \lambda \geq v - w} \lambda + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{K} \mu \exp(w_k/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now, looking into $g_*(x, v)$, we start by laying out the detailed definition of this conjugate function:

$$\begin{aligned} g_*(x, v) &:= \inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}^K: p \geq 0, \sum_k p_k = 1} v^T p - \inf_s s + (1/\alpha) \sum_k p_k \max(-\bar{r}_k^T x - s; 0) \\ &= \inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}^K: p \geq 0, \sum_k p_k = 1} \sup_s v^T p - s - (1/\alpha) \sum_k p_k \max(-\bar{r}_k^T x - s; 0) \\ &= \sup_s \inf_{p \in \mathbb{R}^K: p \geq 0, \sum_k p_k = 1} v^T p - s - (1/\alpha) \sum_k p_k \max(-\bar{r}_k^T x - s; 0) \\ &= \sup_s \min_{k=1, \dots, K} v_k - s - (1/\alpha) \max(-\bar{r}_k^T x - s; 0), \end{aligned}$$

where we exploited Sion's minimax theorem exploiting the fact that the feasible set for p is bounded, and where we realized that a search over the worst-case distribution is simply a search over the worst-case outcome.

In conclusion, we can state that the robust CVaR optimization model takes the

form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underset{x,s,t,\lambda,\mu,v,w}{\text{minimize}} && t \\
 & && \lambda + \sum_k \frac{1}{K} \mu \exp(w_k/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu - v_k + s + (1/\alpha) \max(-\bar{r}_k^T x - s; 0) \leq t, \forall k \\
 & && \lambda \geq v - w \\
 & && \mu \geq 0 \\
 & && \sum_i x_i = 1 \\
 & && x \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^K$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^K$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Solution to Exercise 6.2: Question 1: The optimization problem can be reformulated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underset{x,y,t}{\text{maximize}} && t \\
 & \text{subject to} && t \leq \sum_i c_i y_i^{a_i} - c_i, \forall a \in \mathcal{U} \\
 & && y_i = 1 + x_i/d_i \\
 & && \sum_i p_i x_i \leq B \\
 & && x \geq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

We therefore wish to use theorem 6.2 in order to obtain a tractable form for :

$$t - \sum_i c_i y_i^{a_i} + c_i \leq 0, \forall a \in \mathcal{U}$$

Considering first the function $g(y_i, a_i) := -\sum_i c_i y_i^{a_i}$, table 6.2 already tells us how to obtain the robust counterpart of $g'(y_i, a_i) := -y_i^{a_i}$, namely

$$g'_*(y_i, v_i) = \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)} \ln\left(\frac{-v_i}{\ln(y_i)}\right) - \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)},$$

with the restriction that $v_i \leq 0$ otherwise the function evaluates to $-\infty$.

Using the help of theorem 6.9, we obtain that if $g''(y_i, a_i) := -c_i y_i^{a_i}$ then the conjugate function is

$$g''_*(y_i, v_i) = c_i \left(\frac{v_i/c_i}{\ln(y_i)} \ln\left(\frac{-v_i/c_i}{\ln(y_i)}\right) - \frac{v_i/c_i}{\ln(y_i)} \right) = \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)} \ln\left(\frac{-v_i/c_i}{\ln(y_i)}\right) - \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)}.$$

Finally, the table tells us how to handle sums of separable functions. Hence, we are left with the following robust counterpart:

$$t + \delta^*(v|\mathcal{U}) + \sum_i c_i - \sum_i \left(\frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)} \ln \left(\frac{-v_i/c_i}{\ln(y_i)} \right) - \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)} \right) \leq 0$$

$$v \leq 0.$$

Now, to obtain $\delta^*(v, |\mathcal{U}_1)$, we first note that $\mathcal{U}_1 = \bar{a} - 0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})\mathcal{Z}$, where $\mathbf{diag}(\bar{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}e_i e_i^T$ (i.e. a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with diagonal equal to \bar{a}) and with \mathcal{Z} defined as the uncertainty set discussed in theorem 6.5. Hence,

$$\delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) := \min_{(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m: \lambda \geq v-w, \lambda \geq 0, w \geq 0} \sum_i w_i + \Gamma \lambda.$$

Following theorem 6.7, we have that

$$\delta^*(v|\mathcal{U}) = \bar{a}^T v + \delta^*(-0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})v|\mathcal{Z}),$$

hence, that

$$\delta^*(v|\mathcal{U}) = \min_{(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m: \lambda \geq -0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})v-w, \lambda \geq 0, w \geq 0} \bar{a}^T v + \sum_i w_i + \Gamma \lambda.$$

Combining the two steps we get the tractable reformulation of the robust counterpart:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x, y, t, \lambda, v, w}{\text{maximize}} && t \\ & \text{subject to} && t + \bar{a}^T v + \sum_i w_i + \Gamma \lambda + \sum_i \left(c_i - \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)} \ln \left(\frac{-v_i/c_i}{\ln(y_i)} \right) + \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)} \right) \leq 0 \\ & && \lambda \geq -0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})v - w \\ & && v \leq 0 \\ & && w \geq 0 \\ & && \lambda \geq 0 \\ & && y_i = 1 + x_i/d_i \\ & && \sum_i p_i x_i \leq B \\ & && x \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Question 2: To obtain $\delta^*(v, |\mathcal{U}_2)$, we first note that $\mathcal{U}_2 = \bar{a} - 0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})\mathcal{Z}$, where $\mathbf{diag}(\bar{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}e_i e_i^T$ (i.e. a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with diagonal equal to \bar{a}) and with \mathcal{Z} defined as

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid z \geq 0, \sum_i z_i = 1, \sum_i z_i \ln(z_i) \leq \rho\}.$$

To “speed up” the analysis, we observe that $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{Z}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_2$ with \mathcal{Z}_1 the set discussed in theorem 6.6 and \mathcal{Z}_2 be the KL-divergence set presented in table 6.1. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}_1) &:= \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}: \lambda \geq v} \lambda \\ \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}_2) &:= \min_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}: \mu \geq 0} \sum_i \mu \exp(v_i/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Based on the rule presented in table 6.1 for intersection of sets, this indicates us that the support function for \mathcal{Z} should be

$$\delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) = \min_{\lambda, \mu \geq 0, w^1, w^2: \lambda \geq w^1, w^1 + w^2 = v} \lambda + \sum_i \mu \exp(w_i^2/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu.$$

Following theorem 6.7, we have that

$$\delta^*(v|\mathcal{U}_2) = \bar{a}^T v + \delta^*(-0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})v|\mathcal{Z}),$$

hence, that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^*(v|\mathcal{U}_2) &= \min_{\lambda, \mu \geq 0, w^1, w^2: \lambda \geq w^1, w^1 + w^2 = 0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})v} \bar{a}^T v + \lambda + \sum_i \mu \exp(w_i^1/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu \\ &= \min_{\lambda, \mu \geq 0, w: \lambda \geq 0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})v - w} \bar{a}^T v + \lambda + \sum_i \mu \exp(w_i/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two steps we get the tractable reformulation of the robust counterpart:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{maximize}_{x, y, t, s, \lambda, \mu, v, w} \quad & t \\ \text{subject to} \quad & t + \bar{a}^T v + s + \sum_i \left(c_i - \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)} \ln \left(\frac{-v_i/c_i}{\ln(y_i)} \right) + \frac{v_i}{\ln(y_i)} \right) \leq 0 \\ & s \geq \lambda + \sum_i \mu \exp(w_i/\mu - 1) + \rho\mu \\ & \lambda \geq -0.25 \mathbf{diag}(\bar{a})v - w \\ & v \leq 0 \\ & \mu \geq 0 \\ & y_i = 1 + x_i/d_i \\ & \sum_i p_i x_i \leq B \\ & x \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

Question 3: Refer to Matlab implementation using YALMIP in “Ex6.2.m”.

Solution to Exercise 6.3: Consider the robust optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x}{\text{maximize}} && \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_i x_i \exp(z_i) \\ & \text{subject to} && \sum_i x_i \leq 1 \\ & && x \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists v \in [-1, 1]^n, w \in [-1, 1], z = \mu + Q(v + w), \|v\|_1 \leq \Gamma\}.$$

Question: Derive a tractable reformulation of this problem as a convex optimization problem of finite dimension ?

Solution: We can reformulate this problem as

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x, t}{\text{maximize}} && t \\ & \text{subject to} && -\sum_i x_i \exp(z_i) \leq t, z \in \mathcal{Z} \\ & && \sum_i x_i \leq 1 \\ & && x \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

We first look at the objective function $g(x, z) := -\sum_i x_i \exp(z_i)$. To find the partial concave conjugate, we start with $g'(x_i, z_i) := -x_i \exp(z_i)$. The partial concave conjugate of this function can be found as

$$g'_*(x_i, v_i) := \inf_{z_i} v_i z_i + x_i \exp(z_i) = v_i \ln(-v_i/x_i) - v_i,$$

as long as $v_i \leq 0$ otherwise the infimum goes to $-\infty$. Based on the sum of separable functions rules, we get

$$g_*(x, v) := \sum_i v_i \ln(-v_i/x_i) - v_i.$$

Next, we need to identify the support function of \mathcal{Z} . Yet, in the description, we see that it is the affine mapping of the sum of two sets : $\mathcal{Z} := \mu + Q(\mathcal{Z}_1 + \mathcal{Z}_2)$, where \mathcal{Z}_1 is the budgeted uncertainty set, while $\mathcal{Z}_2 := 1 \cdot [-1, 1]$, an affine projection of the

$[-1, 1]$ interval. We therefore get:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(v|[-1, 1]) &:= |v| \quad (\text{based on support function of the box in } \mathbb{R}) \\ \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}_2) &:= \left| \sum_i v_i \right| \quad (\text{based on theorem 6.7 and } \mathcal{Z}_2 := 1 \cdot [-1, 1]) \\ \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}_1) &:= \min_{w^+ \geq 0, w^- \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0: \lambda \geq v - w^+, \lambda \geq -v - w^-} \sum_i w_i^+ + w_i^- + \Gamma \lambda \quad (\text{based on corollary 6.8}) \\ \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}_1 + \mathcal{Z}_2) &:= \min_{w^+ \geq 0, w^- \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0: \lambda \geq v - w^+, \lambda \geq -v - w^-} \sum_i w_i^+ + w_i^- + \Gamma \lambda + \left| \sum_i v_i \right| \\ \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) &:= \delta^*(v|\mu + Q(\mathcal{Z}_1 + \mathcal{Z}_2)) \\ &= \min_{w^+ \geq 0, w^- \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0: \lambda \geq Q^T v - w^+, \lambda \geq -Q^T v - w^-} \mu^T v + \sum_i w_i^+ + w_i^- + \Gamma \lambda + \left| \sum_i q_i^T v_i \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where q_i is the i -th column of Q . Note that the last two support function are obtained used the Minkowski sum rule from table 6.1 and theorem 6.7

Putting both of these analysis together we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{maximize} && t \\ & && x, t, w^+, w^-, \lambda \\ \text{subject to} & && \mu^T v + \sum_i w_i^+ + w_i^- + \Gamma \lambda + \left| \sum_i q_i^T v_i \right| - \sum_i (v_i \ln(-v_i/x_i) - v_i) \leq t, z \in \mathcal{Z} \\ & && \lambda \geq Q^T v - w^+ \\ & && \lambda \geq -Q^T v - w^- \\ & && w^+ \geq 0, w^- \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0 \\ & && \sum_i x_i \leq 1 \\ & && x \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$