Chapter 6:

Robust Nonlinear Programming

Our robust nonlinear programming formulation

• We focus on the following formulation:

$$g(x,z) \le 0 \,, \, \forall \, z \in \mathcal{Z}$$

where:

- $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a mapping defined over the convex domain $\mathcal{X}_g \times \mathcal{Z}_g$
- g(x,z) is convex in x and concave in z
- $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a given non-empty, convex, and compact set
- there exist a z_0 in the relative interior of $\mathcal Z$ intersect $\mathcal Z_g$

Relative interior definition

- There exists a ball centered at z_0 and radius $\epsilon > 0$ which projection on the affine space spanned by \mathcal{Z} is included in \mathcal{Z}

For convex uncertainty sets this translates:

 $\exists \epsilon > 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}, z_0 - \epsilon((z - z_0) / \|z - z_0\|_2) \in \mathcal{Z} \}$



Fenchel Robust Counterpart

Assumptions:

- g(x,z) is convex in x and concave in z
- $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a given non-empty, convex, and compact set
- there exist a z_0 in the relative interior of both \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}_g

Theorem 6.2.: The vector $x \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfies the robust constraint (6.1) if and only if $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfy the single inequality

$$(FRC) \quad \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) - g_*(x,v) \le 0 , \qquad (6.2)$$

where the support function δ^* is defined as

$$\delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) := \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} z^T v$$

and the partial concave conjugate function g_* is defined as

$$g_*(x,v) := \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}_g} v^T z - g(x,z) .$$

When ${\mathcal Z}$ is bounded strong duality follows from Sion's minimax theorem

Lemma 4.4. :(Sion's minimax theorem [32]) Let $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set and $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a compact convex set, and let h be a real-valued function on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Z}$ with

1. $h(x, \cdot)$ lower semicontinuous and quasi-convex on $\mathcal{Z}, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$

2. $h(\cdot, z)$ upper semicontinuous and quasiconcave on $\mathcal{X}, \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}$ then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} h(x, z) = \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h(x, z) .$$

• Coro #1: If both \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Z} are convex, one of them is bounded, and h(x,z) is concave in x & convex in z, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} h(x, z) = \inf_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h(x, z)$$

• Coro #2: If both \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Z} are convex, one of them is bounded, and h(x,z) is convex in x & concave in z, then

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} h(x, z) = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h(x, z)$$

Example : Quadratic Programming

Example 6.3. : Consider the following robust optimization constraint:

$$p(x)^T z + s(x) - z^T P(x) z \le 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{Z},$$

where $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is an affine function of $x, s : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, and $P(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and finally where

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^m \, | \, z^T Q z \le r \} \, ,$$

with $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ a symmetric matrix and $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

After describing g(x, z) as $g(x, z) := p(x)^T z + s(x) - z^T P(x) z$ and letting $z_0 = 0$, one needs to make the following assumptions in order to apply theorem 6.2:

- Impose that $x \in \mathcal{X}_g$ with $\mathcal{X}_g := \{x \mid P(x) \succeq 0\}$, namely that we have the guarantee that P(x) is positive semi-definite in order to make g(x, z) concave in z.
- Impose that $Q \succ 0$ and that r > 0, namely that Q is positive definite to ensure that \mathcal{Z} is convex and bounded, and that $0 \in \operatorname{relint}(\mathcal{Z})$.

When applying theorem 6.2, we obtain that the constraint is equivalent to

$$\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^m \ \delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) - g_*(x,v) \le 0,$$

Example : Quadratic Programming under Polyhedron

- It's really rather simple to obtain the robust counterpart under a different uncertainty set. Simply replace the conjugate of the support function.
- Example: using Bz≤b instead of ellipsoid

Ellipsoidal set

$$\delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) = \sqrt{r} \|Q^{-1/2}v\|_2 \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \|Q^{-1/2}v\|_2 - t \leq 0 \\ \int P(x) & (v - p(x))/2 \\ (v - p(x))^T/2 & -s(z) - t \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

Example : Quadratic Programming under Polyhedron

- It's really rather simple to obtain the robust counterpart under a different uncertainty set. Simply replace the conjugate of the support function.
- Example: using Bz≤b instead of ellipsoid

Polyhedral set

$$\delta^{*}(v|\mathcal{Z}) = \inf_{\lambda:\lambda \ge 0, B^{T}\lambda = v} b^{T}\lambda \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} b^{T}\lambda & -t \le 0 \\ B^{T}\lambda = v \\ \lambda \ge 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} P(x) & (v-p(x))/2 \\ (v-p(x))^{T}/2 & -s(z)-t \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

Some tractable reformulation are beyond the reach of FRC

Example 6.4. : Consider the following robust optimization constraint:

$$p(x)^T z + s(x) - z^T P(x) z \le 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{Z},$$

where $p: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is an affine function of $x, s: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, and $P(x): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, and finally where

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^m \, | \, z^T Q z \le r \} \; ,$$

with $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

 S-lemma can be used to demonstrate that this RC is equivalent to

$$\exists \lambda \ge 0 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} P(x) + \lambda Q & -p(x)/2 \\ -p(x)^T/2 & -s(x) - r\lambda \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

even when P(x) is not PSD as long as $\overline{z}^T Q \overline{z} < r$ for some \overline{z} .

Some useful theorems

Theorem 6.5. : If $\mathcal{Z} := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid 0 \le z \le 1, \sum_i z_i \le \rho \}$, then

$$\delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) := \inf_{\substack{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^m, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{subject to}}} \sum_i \omega_i + \rho \lambda$$
$$\sum_i \omega_i + \rho \lambda$$
$$\lambda \ge v_i - \omega_i, \forall i$$
$$\lambda \ge 0, \ \omega \ge 0.$$

Theorem 6.7. : If $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is an affine projection of $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$, namely that $\mathcal{Z} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \exists z' \in \mathcal{Z}_1, z = Az' + a_0\}$ for some $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m_1}$ and $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then $\delta^*(v|\mathcal{Z}) = a_0^T v + \delta^*(A^T v|\mathcal{Z}_1)$.

Theorem 6.9. : If g(x,z) is a positive affine mapping of g'(x,z), namely that $g(x,z) := \alpha g'(x,z) + \beta$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then $g_*(x,v) = \alpha g'_*(x,v/\alpha) - \beta$.

Other useful theorems

Corollary 6.8. : Consider using the budgeted uncertainty set $\mathcal{Z} := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid -1 \leq z \leq 1, \sum_i |z_i| \leq \Gamma\}$, then

$$\delta^{*}(v|\mathcal{Z}) := \inf_{\substack{\omega^{+} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \omega^{-} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{subject to}} \sum_{i} \omega_{i}^{+} + \sum_{i} \omega_{i}^{-} + \rho\lambda$$
$$\lambda \ge v_{i} - \omega_{i}^{+}, \forall i$$
$$\lambda \ge -v_{i} - \omega_{i}^{-}, \forall i$$
$$\omega \ge 0, \ \lambda \ge 0.$$

Hence, the robust counterpart takes the form:

$$\exists \omega^+ \in \mathbb{R}^m, \omega^- \in \mathbb{R}^m, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, v \in \mathbb{R}^m, \qquad \begin{cases} \sum_i \omega_i^+ + \sum_i \omega_i^- + \rho \lambda - g_*(x, v) \leq 0\\ \lambda \geq v_i - \omega_i^+, \forall i\\ \lambda \geq -v_i - \omega_i^-, \forall i\\ \omega^+ \geq 0, \ \omega^- \geq 0, \ \lambda \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Uncertainty region	Z	Support function $\delta^*(v \mathcal{Z})$
Box	$\ z\ _{\infty} \le \rho$	$ ho \ v\ _1$
Ball	$\ z\ _2 \le \rho$	$ ho \ v\ _2$
Polyhedral	$b - Bz \ge 0$	$\inf_{w \ge 0: B^T w = v} b^T w$
Cone	$b - Bz \in C$	$\inf_{w \in C^*: B^T w = v} b^T w$
KL-Divergence	$\sum_{l} z_{l} \ln \left(\frac{z_{l}}{z_{l}^{0}}\right) \leq \rho$	$\inf_{u\geq 0}\sum_{l} z_l^0 u e^{(v_l/u)-1} + \rho u$
Geometric prog.	$\sum_{i} \alpha_i e^{(d_i)^T z} \le \rho$	$\inf_{u \ge 0, w \ge 0: \sum_{i} d_{i} w_{i} = v} \sum_{i} \left\{ w_{i} \ln \left(\frac{w_{i}}{\alpha_{i} u} \right) - w_{i} \right\} + \rho u$
Intersection	$\mathcal{Z} = \cap_i \mathcal{Z}_i$	$\inf_{\{w_i\}:\sum_i w_i=v} \sum_i \delta^*(w^i \mathcal{Z}_i)$
Example	$\mathcal{Z}_k = \{ z \ z \ _k \le \rho_k \}$ $k = 1, 2$	$\inf_{(w^1, w^2): w^1 + w^2 = v} \rho_1 \ w^1\ _{\infty} + \rho_2 \ w^2\ _2$
Minkowski sum	$\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{Z}_1+\dots+\mathcal{Z}_K$	$\sum_{i} \delta^*(v \mathcal{Z}_i)$
Example	$\mathcal{Z}_1 = \{ z \ z \ _{\infty} \le \rho_{\infty} \} \\ \mathcal{Z}_2 = \{ z \ z \ _2 \le \rho_2 \}$	$\rho_{\infty} \ v\ _1 + \rho_2 \ v\ _2$
Convex hull	$\mathcal{Z} = \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_K)$	$\max_i \delta^*(v \mathcal{Z}_i)$
Example	$\mathcal{Z}_1 = \{ z \ z \ _{\infty} \le \rho_{\infty} \} \\ \mathcal{Z}_2 = \{ z z - z^0 \ _2 \le \rho_2 \}$	$\max\{\rho_{\infty} \ v\ _{1}, (z^{0})^{T}v + \rho_{2} \ v\ _{2}\}$

Table 6.1: Table of reformulations for uncertainty sets (Table 1 in [8])

Table 6.2: Table of reformulations for constraint functions (Table 2 in [8])

Constraint function	g(x,z)	Partial concave conjugate $g_*(x, v)$	
Linear in z	$z^T g(x)$	$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v = g(x) \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	
Concave in z , separable in z and x	$g(z)^T x$	$\sup_{\{s^i\}_{i=1}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n s^i = v} \sum_i x_i(g_i)_*(s^i/x_i)$	
Example	$-\sum_i \frac{1}{2} (z^T Q_i z) x_i$	$\sup_{\{s^i\}_{i=1}^n:\sum_{i=1}^n s^i = v} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(s^i)^T Q_i^{-1} s^i}{x_i}$	
Sum of functions	$\sum_{i} g_i(x, z)$	$\sup_{\{s^i\}_{i=1}^n:\sum_i s^i = v} \sum_i (g_i)_*(x, s^i)$	
Sum of separable functions	$\sum_{i} g_i(x, z_i)$	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (g_i)_*(x, v_i)$	
Example	$-\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{z_i},$ $x_i > 1, 0 \le z \le 1$	$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{v_i}{\ln x_i} \ln \frac{-v_i}{\ln x_i} - \frac{v_i}{\ln x_i} \right) & \text{if } v \le 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	
$-\frac{1}{2} \int v \ln(-v/q(x)) - v \text{if } v \le 0$			
Exponential in $z - g(x)e^{z}$ with $g(x) > 0, \forall x \in -\infty$ otherwise			

Planning an ad campaign with exposure rate uncertainty

Figure of the converted number of customers per ad displayed on a website according to $h_i(x_i) := 30(1 + x_i/1000)^{a_i} - 30$



Planning an ad campaign with exposure rate uncertainty

• Derive a tractable reformulation for the robust counterpart of this problem:



with

$$h_i(x_i) := c_i (1 + x_i/d_i)^{a_i} - c_i$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_1 &:= \{ a \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, \exists z \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 \le z \le 1, \, \sum_i z_i \le \Gamma, \, a_i = \bar{a}_i (1 - 0.25 z_i) \,, \, \forall \, i \} \\ \text{where } \bar{a} \in \, [0, \, 1]^n \end{aligned}$

Exercise

Exercise 6.3. (More robust non-linear reformulations)

Consider the robust optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x}{\operatorname{maximize}} & \underset{z\in\mathcal{Z}}{\min}\sum_{i}x_{i}\exp(z_{i})\\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{i}x_{i}\leq 1\\ & x\geq 0 \ , \end{array}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n \, | \, \exists v \in [-1,1]^n, w \in [-1,1], z = \mu + Q(v+w), \|v\|_1 \le \Gamma \} .$$

Question: Derive a tractable reformulation of this problem as a convex optimization problem of finite dimension ?